

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,993	08/15/2005	Karel Dolezal	J507-005 US	8995
21706 7590 03/22/2010 NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.			EXAMINER	
100 DUTCH HILL ROAD			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C	
SUITE 110 ORANGEBUI	RG, NY 10962-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OR E. OLD O	10,111 1070= 2100		1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/540,993	DOLEZAL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RAVISS C. MCINTOSH III	1623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

C4-4		

WHICHEVER IS LONGER	PRY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nepty be timely filed
 If NO period for reply is specified ab Failure to reply within the set or external replacements. 	ove, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Inded period for repty will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 18 December 2009.
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
,	is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance	with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
•	22 is/are pending in the application.
	n(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are	
6) Claim(s) 2 and 15-17	
7) Claim(s) is/are	
	ubject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
•	de de des boules Formaises
9) The specification is of	ojected to by the ⊑xaminer. n is/are: a)∏ accepted or b)∏ objected to by the Examiner.
	est that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	est that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a). sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	on is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
,—	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	1
12) Acknowledgment is m a) All b) Some * o	ıade of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ɛ)∐ None of:
 Certified copie 	s of the priority documents have been received.
Certified copie	s of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	n the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detail	led Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Mb	
Attachment(s)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _ 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: ___

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed December 18, 2009 has been received, entered into the record, and carefully considered. The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application by:

Claim 2 has been amended.

Claims 1, 3-14, and 18-21 are canceled.

Claim 22 stands as being withdrawn.

Remarks drawn to rejections of Office Action mailed September 18, 2009 include:

102(b) rejection: which has been overcome by applicant's amendments and has been withdrawn.

An action on the merits of claims 2 and 15-17 is contained herein below. The text of those sections of Title 35, US Code which are not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,845,035.

The '035 patent discloses various compounds which anticipate those instantly claimed.

See at least table 1 which discloses:

6-(2-nitrobenzylamino) purine riboside;

6-(3-nitrobenzylamino) purine riboside; and,

6-(4-nitrobenzylamino) purine riboside.

Additionally disclosed is:

6-(3-cyanobenzylamino) purine riboside (see example 12).

Likewise, the compounds are taught to be used in compositions (see table 1 and examples). The intended use us not seen to make patentable the compositions claims as the prior art's compositions could have functioned in the same way as the instant compositions, as they contain the same claimed amount of the same claimed agents, and thus are seen to be the same. While the examiner has made an effort to indicate all of the species which are contained in the reference and anticipate the instant claims, it is noted that "A generic claim cannot be allowed to an applicant if the prior art discloses a species falling within the claimed genus." The species in that case will anticipate the genus. In re Slayter, 276 F.2d 408, 411,125 USPQ 345, 347 (CCPA 1960); In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 10 USPQ2d 1614 (Fed. Cir. 1989). As such, applicants are encouraged to review the document in it's entirety to remove all species in their claims which are disclosed in the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/540,993

Art Unit: 1623

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,845,035 as set forth supra.

The '035 patent discloses various compounds as noted supra. It is noted, as set forth in previous office actions, that it is well settled that compounds that differ only as positional isomers are not deemed patentably distinct absent evidence of superior, unexpected results. See In re Crounse 150 USPQ 554; Ex parte Engelhardt 208 USPQ 343 regarding position isomerism. As such, 6-(2-cyanobenzylamino) purine riboside and 6-(4-cyanobenzylamino)

Application/Control Number: 10/540,993

Art Unit: 1623

purine riboside are seen to be obvious variants of 6-(3-cyanobenzylamino) purine riboside, as they are positional isomers thereof.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRAVISS C. MCINTOSH III whose telephone number is (571)272-0657. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,993 Page 6

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Traviss C McIntosh III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623 March 17, 2010